IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

TUESDAY, THE 16TH OCTOBER 2007 / 24TH ASWINA 1929

WP(C).No. 3595 of 2007(C)

PETITIONER:

RAMESH BABU, GOVT. CONTRACTOR,
LAKSHMI BHAVAN, MARKET P.O., MUVATTUPUZHA- VIA.,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.BABU JOSEPH KURUVATHAZHA

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **STATE OF KERALA REP.BY ITS SECRETARY**
   TO GOVERNMENT, DEPT. OF FINANCE, GOVT. SECRETARIAT,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. **THE SECRETARY, DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS,**
   GOVT. SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. **THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,**
   P.W.D. BUILDING DIVISION, PAINAVU,
   IDUKKI, IDUKKI DISTRICT.

4. **THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER,**
   P.W.D. BUILDING SUB DIVISION,
   THODUPUZHA, IDUKKI DISTRICT.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. M.R. SABU

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 16/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

KSS

WPC.NO.3595/2007 C

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: **COPY OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE CIVIL OFFICERS OF IDUKKI DISTRICT HELD ON 15/04/06.**

EXT.P2: **COPY OF THE ESTIMATE OF THE WORK NAMELY CONSTRUCTION OF ROSTRUM IN GOVT.BOYS' HIGH SCHOOL GROUND, THODUPUZHA.**

EXT.P3: **COPY OF THE LETTER NO.D1/251/06 DTD. 17/04/06 ISSUED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT.**

EXT.P4: **COPY OF THE BILL BOOK DETAILING THE MEASUREMENTS OF THE WORK EXECUTED BY THE PETITIONER AND THE PAYMENTS DUE TO HIM.**

EXT..P5: **COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DTD. 18/12/06 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 4TH RESPONDENT.**

EXT.P5(A): **COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DTD. 15/01/07 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 4TH RESPONDENT.**

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

KSS

ANTONY DOMINIC,J.

W.P (C) No. 3595 of 2007

Dated this the 16th October, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner seeks an order directing payment of work he has done.

2. Learned Government Pleader, on instruction, submits that the admitted amount of Rs.5,78,927/- has already paid to the petitioner as early as on 7.3.2007.

In view of the payment thus made to the petitioner, no further orders are necessary in this writ petition. However, if the petitioner has any further claim, it is up to the petitioner to pursue to the same in appropriate proceedings. The writ petition is closed.

(ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE)

ma

K.THANKAPPAN,J

CRL.A. NO.92 OF 1999

ORDER

25th May, 2007